

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. BOX 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 06/20/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/409,457	09/30/1999	MARTIN C. FLAUTT	24649A	5361
75	90 06/20/2003			
OWENS CORNING SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY			EXAMINER	
CENTER BLDG 54 1			EGWIM, KELECHI CHIDI	
2790 COLUMB GRANVILLE, 0			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1713	95

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

*	Application No.	Applicant(s)	AS-	
	09/409,457	FLAUTT ET AL.	••	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Dr. Kelechi C. Egwim	1713		
The MAILING DATE of this communication	1.		ss	
HE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS serefore, further action by the applicant is required al rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be eith ndition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Assemblation (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	ier: (1) a timely filed amendmen Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a	application. A proper reply to the strict of	n in	
PERIOD FO	OR REPLY [check either a) or b)]		
The period for reply expires 3 months from the mail The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date no event, however, will the statutory period for reply ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPL 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(at have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the punder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. So	of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date sexpire later than SIX MONTHS from the Y WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS a). The date on which the petition undeperiod of extension and the corresponding date of the shortened statutory period for the Office later than three months after than three months.	e mailing date of the final rejection. 3 OF THE FINAL REJECTION. Se 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropring amount of the fee. The appropring reply originally set in the final Office.	ee MPEP iate extension riate extension	
A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appe 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (3				
	red because:			
(a) they raise new issues that would require	further consideration and/or se	arch (see NOTE below);		
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see I	Note below);			
(c) ⊠ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	ation in better form for appeal by	materially reducing or simp	lifying the	
(d) they present additional claims without c	anceling a corresponding numb	er of finally rejected claims.		
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> Applicant's reply has overcome the following	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		·	
canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	would be allowable if submitted		•	
∑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ reque application in condition for allowance because	est for reconsideration has been se: <u>See <i>Final Rejection</i></u> .	considered but does NOT p	lace the	
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considere raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	d because it is not directed SOL	ELY to issues which were n	ewly	
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amend explanation of how the new or amended clai	dment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered ms would be rejected is provide	d or b)⊡ will be entered and d below or appended.	l an	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as fol	lows:	•		
Claim(s) allowed: <i>None</i> .				
Claim(s) objected to: None.				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-15</u> .				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: None				
The proposed drawing correction filed on			r.	
☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Sta	tement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No	o(s)		
Other:		Cler Som	~	



Continuation of 2. NOTE: Through multiple amendments and revisions to this section of the specifications, applicant seems to be further confusing the issue. The fact remains that the originally filed specifications, in the section on page 10, defines the superabsorbent polymer precursors as being "selected from the group of water-soluble polyacrylates". Through amendments, applicant is still defining the superabsorbent precursors as being "selected from the group of CHEMICAL PRECURSORS TO water- soluble polyacrylates" (EMPHASIS ADDED). This is still inconsistent with the specification as originally filed. This still represents new matter in the specification". If applicant wishes to redefine their superabsorbent polymer precursors, the examiner suggest that applicant file a C-I-P introducing this new matter.